



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/919,430		07/31/2001	Kevin H. Hansen	IDF 1660 (4000-04700)	4192
28003	7590	11/30/2005		EXAM	INER
SPRINT		***	WALSH, JOHN B		
6391 SPRIN KSOPHT01		·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		KS 66251-2100		2151	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/919,430	HANSEN ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		John B. Walsh	2151
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHI( - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  (a) In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>amental</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
<b>Applicat</b>	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S on is required if the drawing(s) is c	tee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)□ a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been recei (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
Attachmen	nt(e)		
1)  Notic 2)  Notic 3)  Inform	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/919,430

Art Unit: 2151

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,813,244 to He et al.

As concerns claim 1, He et al. disclose a measuring device for a network and a service node for coupling a client/terminal (column 1, line 26) to a network (column 1, line 14) having at least one server (column 1, line 25); a bandwidth measurement device (column 1, lines 46-47) coupled to said path, said bandwidth measurement device configured for independently determining upload and/or download data transfer rates between said client and said service node (figure 8, column 5, lines 40-45).

He et al. do not explicitly disclose said service node comprising: a gateway configured for connection to a network (column 2, lines 32-34); a switch configured for connection to a client; a data routing system extending from said switch to said gateway, said switch, data routing system and gateway collectively forming a path (column 1, line 16), through said service node, configured for coupling said client to said network; each one of said plurality of subscriber terminals coupled to said service node by a corresponding xDSL line; said service node comprising: a switch coupled to each one of said plurality of xDSL lines; a gateway coupled to

Application/Control Number: 09/919,430

Art Unit: 2151

the Internet; a data routing system extending from said switch to said gateway, said switch data routing system and gateway collectively forming a path, through said service node, for coupling each one of said plurality of subscriber terminals to the Internet. However, it would have been an obvious design choice to provide the network of He et al. with a gateway, switch and an xDSL line.

As concerns claims 2, 13 and 15, He et al. discloses a network comprised of multiple elements (column 2, 33-34). It would have been an obvious design choice to have a router within a network.

As concerns claims 3 and 14, wherein said bandwidth measurement device is coupled to said gateway (coupled via the computer networks).

As concerns claim 5, the service node of claim 3, it would have been an obvious design choice for said client is a PC and said network is the Internet. These limitations do not appear to effect the patentable operation of the invention and would work equally well with another device or network.

As concerns claims 6 and 16, wherein said bandwidth measurement device is a server (column 2, line 50).

As concerns claims 7 and 17, wherein a measurement application resides on said bandwidth measurement server, said measurement application determining said upload and/or download data transfer rates for said bandwidth measurement server (column 2, lines 50, 56=59).

As concerns claim 8, the service node of claim 7, wherein, if determining said upload data transfer rate between said client and said service node, said measurement application determines

said upload data transfer rate based upon an analysis of arriving data packets originating at said client and, if determining said download data transfer rate between said service node and said client, said measurement application generates data packets for transfer to said client (figure 8; column 56-59).

3. Claims 9-11 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,813,244 to He et al. as applied above in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,757,255 to Aoki et al.

He et al. '244 do not explicitly disclose an applet on the client or a web application residing on a server.

Aoki et al. '255 teach an applet on a client and a web application residing on a server (column 10, lines 37-63).

It would have been obvious to provide the applet/agent of He et al. '244 on the client side or on a server, as taught by Aoki et al. '255, in order to provide enhanced accessibility to the application.

As concerns claims 11 and 19, He et al. '244 disclose wherein said bandwidth measurement server further comprises a measurement database (25,503,603) coupled to said measurement application, said measurement database maintaining data collected during measurement of said upstream and/or downstream data transfer rates.

As concerns claim 20, He et al. '244 disclose wherein said measurement database is further coupled to said web application, said service provider terminal accessing said data maintained in Art Unit: 2151

said measurement database through said web application (database and application coupled via the network for accessing data).

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Walsh whose telephone number is 571-272-7063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday from 5:30-4:00.

Art Unit: 2151

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on 571-272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nohn B. Walsh Primary Examiner Art Unit 2151